


## A Comparison of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Applications in Structural Engineering

Ali Sadeghpour\* 

### Abstract

As structural engineering projects grow increasingly complex, the demand for sophisticated computational tools has surged. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and soft computing methods, including genetic algorithms, fuzzy logic, and artificial neural networks, offer powerful ways to address challenges in design, analysis, optimization, and maintenance. Both AI and soft computing approaches have their strengths and weaknesses, and understanding these can help engineers determine the best tools for different tasks. This paper provides a detailed comparison of AI and soft computing in structural engineering, focusing on their applications, advantages, limitations, and potential for future integration. The discussion is based on recent studies and explores how hybrid models that combine both methods can offer more comprehensive solutions to complex engineering problems.

**Keywords:** Structural Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Soft Computing.

### 1. Introduction

Structural engineering plays a crucial role in shaping modern infrastructure, from designing skyscrapers to constructing bridges and tunnels. As the complexity of engineering projects grows, so do the challenges associated with designing, analyzing, and optimizing these structures. Traditional methods, though robust, are often time-consuming and unable to cope with increasingly sophisticated demands. To meet these challenges, engineers have embraced computational techniques that leverage AI and soft computing.

AI has become an emerging field in structural engineering due to its ability to process vast amounts of data, identify patterns, and make predictions with remarkable accuracy. Studies such as Salehi and Burgueño [1] have demonstrated how AI is reshaping structural engineering tasks, including damage detection, load prediction, and structural health monitoring. Similarly, Tapeh and Naser [2] provide an in-depth review of AI, machine learning (ML), and deep learning (DL) applications in the field, highlighting how these technologies can enhance decision-making. On the other hand, soft computing methods, including genetic algorithms (GAs), fuzzy logic (FL), and artificial neural networks (ANNs), have been widely used for optimization and dealing with uncertainty. Soft computing provides a flexible approach to problem-solving, allowing for the use of approximations and heuristics rather than exact solutions. Studies like those by Sadeghpour and Ozay [7] emphasize how soft computing methods can offer valuable solutions

for optimization and decision-making under uncertain conditions [3-4].

This paper aims to explore the differences between AI and soft computing in structural engineering, discuss their respective advantages and limitations, and suggest ways in which hybrid systems could lead to more effective problem-solving.

### 2. Soft Computing in Structural Engineering

Soft computing refers to computational methods designed to handle imprecise, uncertain, or incomplete data. Unlike traditional hard computing approaches, which seek exact solutions, soft computing methods focus on producing solutions that are good enough, given the constraints and uncertainties of real-world problems. These techniques are often applied when it is difficult to model a system precisely or when exact solutions would require excessive computational resources.

#### 2.1. Genetic Algorithms (GAs)

GAs are especially useful in situations where the design space is vast, and multiple criteria must be considered, such as cost, safety, and material usage [1]. Through multiple iterations, the GA can identify a design that offers the best trade-off between these factors [7]. Despite their effectiveness, GAs have some limitations. They can be computationally expensive, especially for large-scale problems. Additionally, GAs do not guarantee the discovery of the global optimum and may converge prematurely on suboptimal solutions.

#### 2.2. Fuzzy Logic (FL)

FL systems are often employed in situations where engineers must deal with uncertainties [4]. In structural health monitoring, engineers may use fuzzy logic to evaluate the likelihood of a structure experiencing damage, allowing for the combination of these uncertain variables to produce a more reliable estimate of structural health. One advantage of fuzzy logic is that it does not require a precise mathematical model of the system. Instead, it can work with qualitative data and expert-defined rules. However, this reliance on expert input can also be a limitation, as the quality of the fuzzy system depends on the accuracy and completeness of the rules provided by experts [5-6].

#### 2.3. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

In the prediction of structural damage due to earthquakes, an ANN can be trained using historical data on previous earthquakes and their effects on various structures. Once trained, the network can predict the likelihood of damage to a new building based on its design parameters and expected seismic activity [7].

#### 2.4. Limitations of Soft Computing Techniques

While soft computing methods like GAs, fuzzy logic, and ANNs have proven useful in structural engineering, they also have limitations. Some of the key drawbacks include:

- Genetic Algorithms: GAs can be computationally intensive, particularly for large-scale problems with many variables.
- Fuzzy Logic: Fuzzy logic systems require expert input to define the rules and membership functions that govern the system.
- Artificial Neural Networks: ANNs require large datasets for training and they can be prone to overfitting [1, 6-7].

### 3. Artificial Intelligence in Structural Engineering

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is used for predictive modeling, damage detection, optimization, and real-time monitoring [1-2].

#### 3.1. Machine Learning (ML)

In structural engineering, ML is used in a variety of tasks, including load prediction, structural health monitoring, and optimization of design parameters [3-4]. In the maintenance of large structures like bridges or dams, engineers often need to predict when a structure will require repair or reinforcement. ML models can be opaque, making it difficult for engineers to understand the reasoning behind their predictions [6-8].

#### 3.2. Deep Learning (DL)

In structural health monitoring, DL techniques can be used to analyze images of a structure taken by drones. By processing these images, DL models can automatically detect signs of damage, such as cracks or deformations, without the need for manual inspection [1-3]. While DL models can be incredibly powerful, they are also data-hungry and require large amounts of labeled data for training.

#### 3.3. AI in Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)

SHM involves the continuous monitoring of structures to detect damage or deterioration before it becomes critical. AI techniques have proven highly effective in this area. By analyzing data from sensors placed on structures, AI models can identify patterns that indicate damage, predict future deterioration, and suggest maintenance strategies. In a recent study, AI techniques were used to monitor the health of a suspension bridge and detect small cracks by placing sensors on the bridge to record data on vibrations, temperature, and strain [1, 6].

#### 3.4. Challenges in AI Applications

Despite its promise, AI faces several challenges in structural engineering such as data availability, Interpretability [9-10] and computational costs.

### 4. Hybrid Approaches: Combining AI and Soft Computing

Hybrid models can leverage the optimization capabilities of soft computing techniques while taking advantage of the predictive power of AI models [4-5]. In the design of earthquake-resistant buildings, a hybrid approach might involve using fuzzy logic to deal with uncertainties in seismic data, a genetic algorithm to optimize the structural design, and a deep learning model to predict the building's response to different seismic events.

### 5. Conclusions

Artificial Intelligence and soft computing techniques have both made significant contributions to structural engineering, offering new ways to address challenges in

design, analysis, optimization, and maintenance. While AI excels in data-driven tasks like prediction and classification, soft computing methods provide powerful tools for optimization and dealing with uncertainty. By combining these approaches into hybrid models, engineers can create more effective solutions to complex problems, balancing the strengths of each method. As research into these technologies continues, we can expect to see even more innovative applications of AI and soft computing in structural engineering, particularly in areas like structural health monitoring, predictive maintenance, and automated design optimization. However, challenges remain, particularly in terms of data availability, model interpretability, and computational costs. Addressing these challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of these technologies in the years to come.

### Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- [1] H. Salehi, and B. Rigoberto, Emerging artificial intelligence methods in structural engineering, *Engineering Structures* 171 (2018) 170-189.
- [2] Tapeh, Arash Teymori Gharah, and M. Z. Naser. "Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning in structural engineering: a scientometrics review of trends and best practices, *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering* 30.1 (2023) 115-159.
- [3] Lu, Pengzhen, Shengyong Chen, and Yujun Zheng. "Artificial intelligence in civil engineering." *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2012.1 (2012): 145974.
- [4] Y. Huang, and F. Jiyang, Review on application of artificial intelligence in civil engineering, *Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences* 121.3 (2019) 845-875.
- [5] M. Khan, and F. Tahir. *Modern Structural Engineering Techniques Utilizing Artificial Intelligence*. No. 10050. EasyChair, 2023.
- [6] S. M. Shrikant, Advancements and challenges in the application of artificial intelligence in civil engineering: a comprehensive review, *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering* 25.1 (2024) 1061-1078.
- [7] A. Sadeghpour, and G. Giray, Calculating the collapse margin ratio of RC frames using soft computing models, *Structural Engineering and Mechanics, An Int'l Journal* 83.3 (2022) 327-340.
- [8] H. Dilek, and A. Sadeghpour, A comparison of different linear and non-linear structural analysis methods, *ENG Transactions* 3 (2022) 1-9.
- [9] A. Kaveh, Applications of Artificial neural networks and machine learning in Civil Engineering, *Studies in computational intelligence* 1168 (2024).
- [10] G. zevski, Artificial intelligence in engineering: past, present and future, *WIT Transactions on Information and Communication Technologies* 10 (2024).